Management of Silica Dust.

Kevin Hedges

Department of Mines and Energy Safety and Health Senior Principal Occupational Hygienist (Northern Region) <u>kevin.hedges@dme.qld.gov.au</u>

Fritz Djukic

Department of Mines and Energy Safety and Health Senior Principal Occupational Hygienist (Southern Region) <u>fritz.djukic@dme.qld.gov.au</u>



What is respirable crystalline silica?

Crystalline silica is a common mineral (SiO_2) found in most types of rock/stone, sands, shale, clays and gravel. It mainly occurs in the form of quartz.

Sandstone -> 70% quartz.Granite- up to 30% quartz.Clays- 6-30% quartz.



"Respirable" : airborne particles small enough to reach the deep lung (less than 10 microns diameter).



Crystalline silica

- Why a priority
- **D** The risk
- How well is the risk being managed
- The way forward







Health effects

Silicosis

Lung cancer

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)



Silicosis

- <u>High exposures</u> over just <u>a few months</u> can result in <u>"acute silicosis"</u> – often a fatal condition.
- Chronic silicosis is a slow progressive, **irreversible disease** that usually takes many years to develop.
- Silicosis may <u>further develop</u> even when exposure to silica has ceased.





What are the symptoms of silicosis?

Early stages

Without medical exam may go unnoticed

Continued exposure

Shortness of breath upon exercising Possible fever Bluish skin at ear lobes or lips Susceptibility to infectious lung diseases such as tuberculosis.

Progression of the disease

Fatigue Extreme shortness of breath Loss of appetite Pain in the chest Respiratory failure Suffering prior to death may occur for many years.





The Hazard

- Significant risk from dust containing silica, in:
 - Rock
 - Sands
 - Clays
 - Shale
 - Gravel









Intensity of exposure.

Freshly cut crystalline silica has a higher degree of potency to crystalline silica that has aged.

This may mean that if you breath in a relatively high concentration for a short duration of time this may be more hazardous than breathing in a lower concentration over a longer period of time.

Even though the average exposure over a day is the same.



Potency matrix

Factors	Comment	Situations
Particle size	Enhances potency	Grinding and abrasive process.
Dry and freshly cut	Reference point to compare potency	Drilling, crushing.
Wetting	From dust suppression	Wet extraction processes
Aged	Reduces potency	No abrasion, grinding.
Presence of clay	Aluminium reduces potency	Mines extracting low rank coal

Adapted from HSE EH 75/4 page 7











Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

COPD encompasses chronic bronchitis and emphysema.





What is the risk?



What is the risk?

Study in Scottish coal miners.

15 years exposure to RCS in mg/m ³	Predicted risks of developing silicosis within 15 years
	following exposure
0.02	0.25%
0.04	0.5%
0.1	2.5%
0.3	20%

Study in Scottish Coal Miners Source: HSE EH75/4 2002 p. 73.



Department of Mines and Energy

"The quality of the exposure data for this study is more detailed and better documented compared to other studies"

HSE 2002 EH75/4 p.67



According to this study: 15 years exposure at 0.1mg/m³ followed by 15 years of non exposure, equals: 1 in 40 chance of being diagnosed with silicosis

(ILO Category 2/1)



There is an additional risk!

What about long periods of low exposure with short periods of high exposure.



Table function o	4.5 Prediction f years spent	ns of risk in low (C opulatio	: (%) of).1 mg.r n aged	silicotic n⁻³) qua 50-74. €	signs o rtz cone equation	of profus centration based	on 2/1- on and r on ISP	+ (with s nonths s 3-7. Af	tandar spent in ter recl	d error i n high (2 assifica	n <i>italics</i> 2 mg.m tion of t	s), 15 ye ³) quart two sus	ears afte z conce pect ca	er expos entratior ses.	sure end n. From	ls, as a Table 4.3,	
	- <u></u>	opulatio	Jugou		- quanto								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		i		
	Years in low		_			Moi	nths in h	iigh quai	rtz con	centrati	on						
	quartz conc	0		$\sum_{i=1}^{2}$		4		6		8		10)		2		
	15	2.49	0.89	5.21	1.70	10.58	3.72	20.32	7.97	35.46	14.18	54.21	18.86	71.84	18.37		
	▼ 14	2.31	0.80	4.84	1.64	9.88	3.58	19.10	7.73	33.72	13.97	52.29	19.08	70.25	19.05	1	
	10	1.70	0.71	3.60	1.40	7.45	3.07	14.78	6.72	27.20	12.90	44.60	19.41	63.43	21.55		
i mg m-3	9	1.58	0.68	3.34	1.35	6.93	2.94	13.83	6.47	25.70	12.58	42.70	19.37	61.62	22.08	\	
	5	1.17	0.56	2.48	1.13	5.19	2.47	10.55	5.49	20.26	11.15	35.37	18.69	54.11	23.72		
	4	1.08	0.54	2.30	1.08	4.82	2.36	9.84	5.25	19.04	10.77	33.63	18.40	52.19	23.98	Low +	
	0	0.80	0.44	1.70	0.89	3.59	1.95	7.42	4.36	14.73	9.23	27.12	16.89	44.50	24.37	high	



category All 0 1 2 n % n % 0 1 2 0 1 2 n % n % 1 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 <th colspan<="" th=""><th>Table 3.1 Dist</th><th>ributions of</th><th>age and</th><th>Ismoking</th><th>g status</th><th>at follow</th><th>up surve</th><th>ey by pro</th><th>ofusion</th></th>	<th>Table 3.1 Dist</th> <th>ributions of</th> <th>age and</th> <th>Ismoking</th> <th>g status</th> <th>at follow</th> <th>up surve</th> <th>ey by pro</th> <th>ofusion</th>	Table 3.1 Dist	ributions of	age and	Ismoking	g status	at follow	up surve	ey by pro	ofusion
Profusion category All 0 1 2 0 n % n % Age at survey: % n % n % 40 57 15 2 2 0 0 59 11 40-49 48 12 7 6 4 8 59 11 50-59 86 22 24 22 13 28 123 22 60-69 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 70+ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38				categ	ory					
n n n n n n n n Age at survey: n n n n n 40-49 48 12 7 6 4 8 59 11 50-59 86 22 24 22 13 28 123 22 60-69 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 70+ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: 36 18 38 210 38		0	P	rofusion	category			A	1	
Age at survey: <40 57 15 2 2 0 0 59 11 $40-49$ 48 12 7 6 4 8 59 11 $50-59$ 86 22 24 22 13 28 123 22 $60-69$ 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 $70+$ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38		n	%	n	%	n 2	%	n	%	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age at survey:	5000	2000	68001	2007	21/24	C 820 40			
40.49 48 12 7 6 4 8 59 11 50.59 86 22 24 22 13 28 123 22 60.69 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 $70+$ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: 8 8 17 119 22 Smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	<40	57	15	2	2	0	0	59	11	
50-59 86 22 24 22 13 28 123 22 60-69 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 70+ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	40-49	48	12	7	6	4	8	59	11	
60-69 123 32 42 38 22 47 187 34 $70+$ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	50-59	86	22	24	22	13	28	123	22	
70+ 75 19 36 32 8 17 119 22 Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	60-69	123	32	42	38	22	47	187	34	
Smoking status: smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	70+	75	19	36	32	8	17	119	22	
smoker 167 43 61 55 21 45 249 46 ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	Smoking status:							_		
ex-smoker 152 39 40 36 18 38 210 38	smoker	167	43	61	55	21	45	249	46	
	ex-smoker	152	39	40	36	18	38	210	38	
never smoked 70 18 10 9 8 17 88 16	never smoked	70	18	10	9	8	17	88	16	
Total 389 100 111 100 47 100 547 100	Total	389	100	111	100	47	100	547	100	

IOM Research Report TM/01/03 February 2001



Country	Occupational Exposure Limit for Alpha Quartz (mg/m ³)
UK	0.1
Austria	0.15
Netherlands	0.075
France	0.1
Belgium	0.1
Ireland	0.05
Italy	0.05
Australia	0.10
ACGIH	0.025



Occupational Exposure Limits – are not fine dividing lines!

Case in point respirable crystalline silica!



Australian Institute of Occupational Hygienists (AIOH)

"Where there is a **likelihood** of 50% of the exposure standard being exceeded, control strategies and health surveillance should apply".

AIOH <u>draft position paper</u> for respirable crystalline silica. Rio Tinto also <u>requires health surveillance</u> at 50% of the exposure standard.



Current situation

"Snapshot of mining"

































3 of the 23 workers exceeded 0.1mg m⁻³ These employees were not wearing respiratory protective equipment (dust masks).

Equates to 13% at risk.

Crude estimate – a lot more data is needed.



Questionnaire Feedback

About 113 completed questionnaires have been assessed







Limestone, chalk, marble < 2%



Controls







Do the controls work?

Have you checked their effectiveness?



Control rooms

Are the control rooms under positive pressure? Do the filters remove very fine particles?





Vehicle cabins

Are the cabins under positive pressure? Do the filters remove very fine particles?











Dust masks





Does your site have a clean shaven policy?

Is fit testing carried out?



Respirable dust is invisable!





Monitoring should be carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of controls!



Health Surveillance



Do you use an appropriate doctor?



The way forward!

Review and **improve controls** and then monitoring.

What gets measured gets noticed, what gets noticed gets action!



Respirable dust and respirable crystalline in WA Mining

CONTAM EXPOSURE TREND REPORT for Contaminant: Respirable and Silica Dust



Queensland the Smart State

Source Lindy Nield DOCEP



Queensland Mining Health Improvement and Awareness Committee





2nd Meeting hosted by Xstrata

Topics:

- 1. Pneumoconiosis in USA
- 2. Health surveillance
- 3. Dust control in coal mines
- 4. Dust control in quarries
- 5. Dust control in metal mines
- 6. Exposure assessment
- 7. Dust plan including research and auditing



